

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 24-5006**September Term, 2023****1:20-cv-03010-APM****Filed On:** June 17, 2024

United States of America, et al.,

Appellees

v.

Google LLC,

Appellee

Brad Greenspan,

Appellant

BEFORE: Rao and Childs, Circuit Judges

ORDER

Upon consideration of the motion to correct the record; the motion to hold in abeyance pending correction of the record, the opposition thereto, and the reply; and the motions to disqualify, the oppositions thereto, and the reply, it is

ORDERED that the motion to correct the record be dismissed as moot. This court has access to appellant's requested trial transcripts, and they already constitute part of the record on appeal. See Fed. R. App. P. 10(a)(2). To the extent that appellant's reply in support of his motion to hold in abeyance seeks to expand his motion to correct the record to also apply to other documents, he forfeited that argument by raising it for the first time in a reply. See Union of Concerned Scientists v. DOE, 998 F.3d 926, 931 (D.C. Cir. 2021). It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to hold in abeyance be dismissed as moot. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that appellant file any responses to the motions to dismiss and for summary affirmance within 10 days of the date of this order. The court will grant no further extensions of time for any such responses absent exceptional circumstances.

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 24-5006

September Term, 2023

Failure by appellant to comply with this order may result in dismissal of the appeal for lack of prosecution. See D.C. Cir. Rule 38. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that consideration of the motions to disqualify be deferred pending further order of the court.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Selena R. Gancasz

Deputy Clerk